



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/929,939	08/15/2001	Medha Parthasarathy	4879-022	1636

7590 03/06/2008
LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP
1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 310
Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER

DASS, HARISH T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3692

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

03/06/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/929,939	Applicant(s) PARTHASARATHY, MEDHA	
	Examiner Harish T. Dass	Art Unit 3692	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 December 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 37-40 and 47-49 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36, 41-46 and 51-53 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/13/2007 has been entered.

This office action is in response to applicant's communication of 12/13/2007.

2. Status of Claims:

Claims 37-40 and 47-49 are canceled.

Claims 1-36, 41-46 and 51-53 are pending.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/13/2007 with respect to newly added limitation(s) (amended claims) have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Note: The argument(s) is (are) responded in response to the claims, see bellow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-36, 41-46, 50-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tengal et al - US 5,940,812 (hereinafter Tengal) in view of Altman et al. (hereinafter Altman – US 6,366,892), Balson et al. (hereinafter Balson - US 20030033240 A1) and Atkins (US 5,911,136).

Re. Claims 1 and 19, Tengal discloses accepting borrower data via a computer network from one or more prospective borrowers, where said borrower data includes, the type of collateral to be used in the loan [Figure 3B; col. 2 lines 34-36], the lender loan attributes (loan terms), maximum and minimum values [Figure 4; see loan attributes (collateral, terms, range, etc.)],

accepting lender data via a computer network from one or more prospective lenders, where said lender data includes, the type of collateral to be used in the loan, the loan terms and their respective range of acceptable values, and what loan terms are negotiable by said prospective lenders [col. 2 lines 58-68; col. 8 lines 1-37– see loan attributes (collateral, terms, etc.)];

comparing said lender data from each said prospective lender to said borrower data from each said prospective borrower [Figure 2 # 210; col. 2 lines 14-23, 45-48]; and

determining when said lender data from a prospective lender matches borrower data from a prospective borrower to identify a user counterpart match (compare), where matching occurs when the values of said lender data equal (match) the values of said borrower data or when said lender data or said borrower data is within the range of acceptable value [col. 2 lines 4-8, 40-51; col. 9 line 34-36 - see best loan].

Tengel does not explicitly disclose the loan terms and their respective range of acceptable values,

what loan terms are negotiable by each prospective borrower,
a type of collateral available, and a type of collateral required. However, mortgage loans with different loan terms, different points and different interest rates are well known (advertised) and it is the borrower(s) who selects loan from different available loans offered by lenders and further known collaterals are margins, collateralized bond obligation, and collateralized mortgage obligation.

-- evaluating the value of said collateral at a specified time period and providing an alert if the collateral falls below a baseline value and requesting supplemental additions to the collateral;

Altman disclose a method for automating legal documents particularly for institutional and commercial loans and the loan terms and their respective range of acceptable values, and what loan terms are negotiable by each prospective

borrower [see entire document particularly, Figure 2 # 204, col. 1 lines 25-50; col. 5 line 53 to col. 6 line 8; Table 1 for loan attributes, col. 7 lines 21-67, Table 2 (see lender ranking threshold, which means there is range of values from nominal value to threshold); claim 12] to provide customized negotiable loan which are provisioned for negotiation based on lender's ranking threshold.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Tengel and include loan terms and their respective range of acceptable values, and what loan terms are negotiable by each prospective borrower, as disclosed by Altman, to provide customized negotiable loan where the borrower selects a loan acceptable to borrower and profitable to lender.

Balson discloses a type of collateral available, and a type of collateral required [paragraphs 4-6, 9, 37, 217-218 (see "collateral can be in the form of cash, securities, guarantees, ... pledged assets... magnitude of collateral required"). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Tengel and Altman and include a type of collateral available, and a type of collateral required, as disclosed by Balson, to match the collateral requirement against users pledged assets and evaluate adequate collateral to form binding contract.

Atkins discloses evaluating the value of said collateral at a specified time period and providing an alert if the collateral falls below a baseline value and requesting supplemental additions to the collateral (col. 11 lines 53-67; col. 14 line 66 to col. 15 line 16; (imbalance) col. 22 line 16 to col. 23 line 19). It would have been obvious at the time

the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosures of Tengal, Altman, Balson and Atkins to monitor the collateral imbalance periodically and correct the imbalance with allocation of asset among different accounts to maximize the client investment earning and financial return.

Re. Claims 2 and 20, Tengal discloses the step of storing said borrower data [Figure 2 # 206; col. 2 line 38-40].

Re. Claims 3 and 21, Tengal discloses 21. the step of storing said lender data [Figure 2 # 202; col. 2 lines 42-46].

Re. Claims 4 and 22, Tengal discloses 22. The method of claim 19, further comprising the step of facilitating communication between each said prospective borrower with one or more of said prospective lenders whose lender data matches with the borrower data of said prospective borrower, wherein said communication includes loan application negotiation, and completion of the loan application process [Figure 1; col. 3 line 20-27; col. 4 lines 57-65; col. 7 line 3-5]. *Balson disclose facilitating direct communication (customer-to-customer negotiation without the intervention) [paragraph 276]. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Tengal, Atkins and Altman and include facilitating direct communication, as disclosed by Balson, to provide facilities for bi-lateral negotiation.*

Re. Claims 5 and 23, Tengel discloses the step of facilitating communication between each said prospective lender with one or more of said prospective borrowers whose borrower data matches with the lender data of said prospective lender, wherein said communication includes loan application negotiation, and completion of the loan application process [Figures 1, 5; col. 3 line 20-27; col. 4 lines 57-65].

Re. Claims 6 and 24, transferring loan funds from said prospective lender to said prospective borrower is inherent in the disclosure of Tengel.

Re. Claims 9 and 27, Tengel discloses the step of transmitting counterpart loan data to each user whose respective data results in a user counterpart match [col. 10 line 66 to col. 11 line 10].

Re. Claims 11 and 29, Tengel discloses evaluating collateral the availability and value of the offered by said prospective borrower [col. 6 lines 25-40].

Re. Claims 41 and 42, , Tengel discloses wherein said loan is selected from the group consisting of capital, equities, or debt [col. 5 lines 20-28].

Re. Claims 43 and 44, Tengel explicitly does not disclose a buyer aggregation model. However, buyer aggregation mode is will known where buyers combine their purchasing

Art Unit: 3692

power for better deal. For example, Casco and Sam's Club having many buyer members, where the purchasing powers of the buyer are pooled for better buying power. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Tengel and include a buyer aggregation model for better deal.

Re. Claims 50-51, Tengel discloses storing match data [col. 7 lines 39-49].

Re. Claims 7-8 and 25-26, Tengel implicitly discloses the transferring collateral, escrowing the collateral and returning the collateral to borrower, and loan default. Tengel or Altman does not explicitly disclose transferring said collateral from said prospective borrower to a user collateral account, and escrowing said collateral and returning said collateral to said prospective borrower upon expiration of the loan, and in the event of a loan default alternatively forwarding said collateral to said prospective lender. However, real estate transaction, purchasing property, financing the purchase, closing property, default on loan, foreclosure and transferring said collateral from said prospective borrower to a user collateral account, and escrowing said collateral and returning said collateral to said prospective borrower upon expiration of the loan, and alternatively forwarding said collateral to said prospective lender in the event of a loan default are well-known in United States. For example, when the closing is done the closing agent forwards to the lender (agent) the title of the property and borrower's down payment to the lender's agent. Similarly, in an event the borrower defaults on the

Art Unit: 3692

loan, by legal processing the collateral goes to the lender. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Tengel, *Atkins* and Altman to include transferring said collateral from said prospective borrower to a user collateral account, and escrowing said collateral and returning said collateral to said prospective borrower upon expiration of the loan, and alternatively forwarding said collateral to said prospective lender in the event of a loan default to comply with legal aspect of the loan payment and judgments.

Re. Claims 10 and 28, Tengel or Altman does not explicitly disclose the step of suspending the matching analysis of the loan data pertaining to a user upon request by the said user. However, this is well known. For example, the borrower does not want to pursue the loan further or places the application on hold, he/she can call the agent to suspend the process. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Tengel, *Atkins* and Altman and include a step of suspending the matching analysis of the loan data pertaining to a user upon request by the said user to allow the system halt the process and minimize the processing loss to the operator.

Re. Claims 12 and 30, Tengel discloses evaluating the value of said collateral [col. 6 lines 25-40].

Re. Claims 13, 15, 31 and 33, Tengel discloses receiving a collateral value requirement

Art Unit: 3692

as agreed to by said lender and said borrower [col. 6 line 25-41]. Tengel or Altman does not explicitly disclose requesting said borrower to deposit funds to replenish the reduction in said collateral value below said collateral value requirement. However, property buyers know this step in United State. For example, before a closing a property, the borrower get a commitment from lender (bank) for certain amount of loan (based on the appraised value of property), in event the borrower does not qualifies for full amount purchasing price of the property, the borrower has to come-up with down payment which is the difference of the commitment by the lender and the purchase price and additionally add money if tax and other escrow are applicable. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Tengel, *Atkins* and Altman and include requesting said borrower to deposit funds to replenish the reduction in said collateral value below said collateral value requirement to make the property purchase closing possible when collateral is lower than lender's requirement.

Re. Claims 14 and 32, Tengel discloses informing said counterparts if said collateral value is less than said collateral value requirement [col. 6 line 25-41].

Re. Claims 16 and 34, Tengel or Altman does not explicitly disclose receiving loan payments from said borrower and transferring funds equal to said loan payments to said lender. However, this step is will-known to homeowner whose mortgage is collected by the third party. For example, Loancare Co. of Virginia is collecting money for me and

Art Unit: 3692

forward is the original lender. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Tengal, *Atkins* and Altman and include a third party collector to receiving loan payments from said borrower and transferring funds equal to said loan payments to said lender, which will reduce the collecting cost of the receivable to the original company.

Re. Claim 17-18 and 35-36, Tengal discloses delinquency, foreclosure, bankruptcy or the borrower. Tengal or Altman does not explicitly disclose foreclosing on the loan upon the request from one or more counterparts, and matching the counterparts of the foreclosed loan with other counterparts. However, loss of mitigation is well-known where the counterparties review the loan(s) and decide how to resolve the default in loans, if the parties decide to foreclose the property, the lending parties has to be informed and get part of the foreclosed proceeding according to the contracts. For example, the primary lender has to get his money first and remaining is divided between other lender (such as secondary mortgage, equity loan, etc. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Tengal, *Atkins* and Altman and include foreclosing on the loan upon the request from one or more counterparts, and matching the counterparts of the foreclosed loan with other counterparts in order to divide the proceeding of the foreclosing according to the law and agreement between the parties.

Art Unit: 3692

Re. Claim 45-46, Tengel or Altman does not explicitly disclose querying said matched borrower and said matched lender for a rollover loan on the same terms or on new terms. However, refinancing and adjustable terms are well-known where the borrower negotiates for better terms. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Tengel, *Atkins* and Altman and include rollover or new term agreement to provide the borrower a chance to stay with the same lender whom he/she knows and has trade history in oppose to going to new unknown lender.

Re. Claims 52-53, Tengel or Altman does not explicitly disclose monitoring when loan proceeds are due, receiving said loan proceeds into an escrow account and transferring said loan proceeds to a lender. However, home buying, closing and monitoring when loan proceeds are due, receiving said loan proceeds into an escrow account and transferring said loan proceeds to a lender are well-known to the homeowner, where monthly they get notice of mortgage payment (loan statement) from loan management company and the upon the monthly payment the loan management company pays the interest on mortgage, portion of principal, and taxes. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Tengel, *Atkins* and Altman and include monitoring when loan proceeds are due, receiving said loan proceeds into an escrow account and transferring said loan proceeds to a lender to make sure the borrower is paying on time and the lender is

getting money per contract.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the adding of new prior art which is in same field of financial management.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 CFR ' 1.111 (c) to consider the references fully when responding to this action.

US 6,778,968 (Gulati) discloses an online auctioning systems and methods, and more particularly to an online opportunistic auction system and method for use in commercial secondary markets, and Purchasers have the option to permit bid pooling 310 that allows multiple parties to pool bids cooperatively or independently, to fulfill the criteria of the purchaser's portfolio bidded upon. Pooling may be done using simultaneous bids or bids may be accumulated until all of the portfolio requirements are met.

US 6,260,024 (Shkedy) discloses electronic commerce network applications and, more particularly, to a system and method for facilitating a transaction between a plurality of buyers, an intermediary, and a plurality of sellers over an electronic network, a central controller facilitates the buyer/seller transaction by fielding binding offers from buyers, aggregating those offers into group (i.e. pooled) offers and communicating

Art Unit: 3692

those group offers globally in a format which can be efficiently accessed and analyzed by potential sellers.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harish T. Dass whose telephone number is 571-272-6793. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:50 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Abdi Kambiz can be reached on 571-272-6702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Harish T Dass
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3692

/Harish T Dass/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692